

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/771,705	01/30/2001	Kiichi Ueyanagi	108459	9488
7:	590 07/02/2003			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			CHU, KIM KWOK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2653	4
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•						
Office Action Summary	09/771,705	UEYANAGI, KIICHI				
	Examiner Kim-Kwok CHU	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON.	imely filed bys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under EDisposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	• •					
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7-12 and 14-16 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimano et al. (U.S. Patent 5,774,444) in view of Fujii (U.S. Patent 5,818,811).

Shimano teaches an optical reproduction apparatus for reproducing information from an optical recording medium very similar to the instant invention. For example, Shimano teaches the following:

- (a) as in claim 1, a recording layer 22 in the optical recording medium (Fig. 15a);
- (b) as in claim 1, an irradiation optical system 2-5 for condensing a laser light and irradiating the recording layer with the light (Fig. 5);
- (c) as in claim 1, the laser light having a light intensity distribution the center portion of which is lower than that of its peripheral portion (Fig. 5; column 6, lines 19-24; super-

09/771,705 page 3 AU 2653

resolution effect is a result of a light intensity distribution where the center portion of the light intensity is lower than that of its peripheral portion);

- (d) as in claim 1, a reproduction optical system 7-9 for separating a center portion and a peripheral portion of a reflected light reflected from the optical recording medium by irradiating the medium with the laser light (Fig. 5; column 22, lines 8-10);
- (e) as in claim 1, reproducing the information from the center portion of the reflected light (Fig. 2; column 22, lines 8-16);
- (f) as in claim 2, the irradiation optical system 2-5 includes an optical element 15 provided in a light path of the irradiation optical system and forming the light intensity distribution (Fig. 5; column 6, lines 19-24);
- (g) as in claim 3, a laser light source 1 for emitting the laser light (Fig. 5);
- (h) as in claim 3, an optical filter 151 provided in a light path between the laser light source 1 and the optical recording medium 22, transparency of a center portion of the optical filter being lower than that of its peripheral portion (Fig. 5; column 6, lines 21-23; transparency of a center portion of the filter is lower because it changes the phase of light passing through it);

09/771,705 page 4 AU 2653

(i) as in claim 4, a laser light source 1 for emitting the laser light; and an optical filter 151 provided at an output surface of the laser light source, transparency of a center portion of the optical filter being lower than that of its peripheral portion (Fig. 5; column 6, lines 21-23; transparency of a center portion of the filter is lower because it changes the phase of light passing through it);

- (j) as in claim 7, the reproduction optical system 7-9 includes a reflecting optical element 3 including a reflecting member 1101 which has an outer shape smaller than the reflected light, reflects the center portion of the reflected light toward a direction different from an incident direction of the reflected light and allows the peripheral portion of the reflected light to pass through (Figs. 3 and 5; column 5, lines 63-65);
- (k) as in claim 8, the irradiation optical system 2-5 and the reproduction optical system 7-9 include a common optical element 3 which is provided in an common optical path of the irradiation optical system and the reproduction optical system, form the light intensity distribution of the irradiation laser light, and reflect the center portion of the reflected light toward a direction different from an incident direction (Fig. 5);
- (1) as in claim 9, an optical element 3 provided in an optical path of the irradiation optical system 2-5 and forming the light intensity distribution (Fig. 5);

09/771,705 page 5

(m) as in claim 9, a simple reflecting film 1101 at a center portion of a section of a common optical path of the irradiation optical system and the reproduction optical system (Fig. 3);

- (n) as in claim 9, a polarization beam splitter 3 at a peripheral portion of the center portion of the section, the polarized beam splitter 3 reflecting or transmitting according to polarization of the reflected light (Figs 3 and 5);
- (o) as in claim 10, the reproduction optical system includes an error signal generation unit 91-93 which generates an automatic focusing error signal or a tracking error signal from the center portion of the reflected light (Fig. 6; column 6, lines 47-59);
- (p) as in claim 11, the reproduction optical system includes an error signal generation unit 91-93 which generates an automatic focusing error signal or a tracking error signal from the peripheral portion of the reflected light (Figs. 2 and 6; column 6, lines 47-59); and
- (q) as in claim 12, the reproduction optical system 7-9 separates a peripheral portion of a reflected light reflected from the recording layer (Fig. 2).

However, Shimano does not teach the following:

(a) as in claim 1, a super-resolution film is deposited on a recording layer 22; and

09/771,705 page 6 AU 2653

(b) as in claim 12, the super-resolution film is an aperture type super-resolution film.

Fujii teaches an optical recording medium having an aperture type super-resolution film 241 deposited on a recording layer 242 (Fig. 1A; column 2, lines 36-46).

A light beam with its central portion shielded can be use as a super-resolution light beam for recording data in a recording medium, however, when reproducing the high density data stored in the recording medium, a super-resolution layer is needed where it has an aperture/opening for allowing only one data to be accessed by the light beam. Hence, for a super-resolution read/write operation, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a super-resolution layer such as Fujii's reproducing layer 241 in Shimano's recording layer as an aperture layer, because the aperture layer only allow data to be transferred/accessed in the aperture which is very small compared to the light spot and therefore super-resolution is realized.

09/771,705 page 7 AU 2653

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimano et al. (U.S. Patent 5,774,444). in view of Fujii (U.S. Patent 5,818,811) and Kewitsch et al. (U.S. Patent 6,274,288).

Shimano in view of Fujii teach an optical reproduction apparatus for reproducing information from an optical recording medium very similar to the instant invention. However, Both Shimano and Fujii do no teach the following:

(a) as in claim 5, the irradiation optical system includes a semiconductor laser for emitting a laser light having the light intensity distribution due to a TEM mode.

Kewitsch teaches a donut shape light beam generated by a TEM mode laser source (Fig. 17b; column 18, lines 9-12).

A super-resolution light has a donut shape light distribution. Io achieve this, a super-resolution light beam can be generated by blocking the light in the center such as Shimano's or by a TEM mode laser source such as Kewitsch's.

Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention use a light source with TEM mode light distribution in Shimano's optical reproduction apparatus, because the TEM mode light beam generates a donut shape light distribution.

09/771,705 page 8 AU 2653

4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimano et al. (U.S. Patent 5,774,444) in view of Fujii (U.S. Patent 5,818,811) and Tsai (U.S. Patent 6,285,652).

Shimano in view of Fujii teach an optical reproduction apparatus for reproducing information from an optical recording medium very similar to the instant invention. However, both Shimano and Fujii do not teach the following:

(a) as in claim 13, the super-resolution film is a scattering type.

Tsai teaches a scattering type super-resolution film (Figs. 3 and 4, column 3, lines 35-37).

Instead of an aperture type of super-resolution film, a near-field type diffraction layer can also generate super-resolution. Hence, for a super-resolution read/write operation, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a super-resolution layer such as Tsai's dielectrics film and metal film as illustrated in Fig. 4 in Shimano's recording layer as a scattering type super-resolution layer, because the scattering layer generates a total internal reflection for a near-field light beam which has a super-resolution effect but brighter than a super-resolution light beam which has its central portion being blocked.

5. Claims 14-16 have limitations similar to those treated in the above rejection, and are met by the references as discussed above. Claim 16 however also recites the following limitation which is also inherent in Shimano's teaching:

(a) as in claim 16, a modulation unit 235 for modulating the laser light passing through an optical path of the irradiation optical system in accordance with the information (Fig. 26).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As in claim 6, the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest that the reproduction optical system includes an optical filter provided in a light path of the reproduction optical system, transparency of a center portion of the optical filter being higher than that of its peripheral portion thereof.

The features indicated above, in combination with the other elements of the claims, are not anticipated by, nor made obvious over, the prior art of record.

Prior Art

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ichihara (6,181,650) is pertinent because Ichihara teaches an optical recording medium having a super-resolution film.

Matsui (5,812,515) is pertinent because Matsui teaches a light beam which has its center portion shielded in order to generates a super-resolution light beam.

Nishimura (5,717,662)) is pertinent because Nishimura teaches an optical recording medium having a super-resolution optical layer.

Kato et al. (5,625,613) is pertinent because Kato an optical head which generates a super-resolution light beam.

Sato (5,590,110) is pertinent because Sato teaches an superresolution optical head.

Tsujioka et al. (5,592,461) is pertinent because Tsujioka teaches an optical recording medium having a super-resolution film.

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for formal communications intended for entry. Or:

(703) 746-6909, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim CHU whose telephone number is (703) 305-3032 between 9:30 am to 6:00 pm, Monday to Friday.

la 6/1/03

Kim-Kwok CHU Examiner AU2653 June 27, 2003

(703) 305-3032

WILLIAM KORZUCH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600